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Review

Of Religious Dissension and Peaceful Coexistence: A Study of Brahma Dutta Sharma’s In Face of Assaults on Hinduism

“Let us meditate on OM, the imperishable, the beginning of prayer. As the earth comes from the waters, plants from earth, and man from plants, man is speech, and speech is OM. Of all speech, the essence is the Rig Veda, but Sama is the essence of Rig, and of Sama, the essence is OM, the Udgitha”. Thus, this translates Eknath Easwaran’s two opening verses of Chhandogya Upanishad, explaining the immensity of OM, the ultimate prayer (125). If we look at In Face of Assault on Hinduism as a novel, as the author and the first person narrator, Brahma Dutta Sharma, chooses to describe it in the author’s Preface, the central question, the answer to which we must find later or sooner, would be the question about the theme of the novel. Since the novel is most ostensibly the narrative of the protagonist’s experiences of working in the West Asian Islamic country of Yemen for around seven years from 2008 to 2015, the theme would naturally be lodged in those experiences. Moreover, since the narrated experiences chiefly speak of the protagonist’s living and working in a land with a culture vastly different from his own, the theme would very likely be found in the situations that portray the irreconcilable differences between the two cultures. As such, the theme of the novel, visible on the surface of the narrative in some chapters and running subterranean in some others, is the fact that the protagonist eventually comes out as a survivor of the ‘assault’ that the title mentions, and thereby proves himself an admirable saviour of his faith by drawing his strength from his God whom he names as ‘Om’ – the faceless, the formless and the Omnipresent Supreme Soul ceaselessly and forever incarnating Himself in His Creation.

Interestingly enough, the two points enclosing the entire narrative – the point of the protagonist’s first departure to Yemen and the point of the
protagonist’s final return – are both marked and marred by violence. While the first of these two was the unleashing of the terror attack by Pakistani terrorists on the Taj Hotel of Mumbai in November 2008, which the narrator talks of in Chapter Two, the second, in 2016, is the armed conflict in Yemen for the political control of the country. It is this conflict, aided and abetted by Saudi Arabia and its allies in and outside the Middle East, which the narrator talks of in the last chapter as the sole cause and reason for his sudden and final return to India.

As stated earlier and as highlighted in its title, the novel tells the story of the protagonist coming out as a survivor of the assaults on his faith. On several occasions, the protagonist finds himself trying hard to explain the tenets of his faith and the associated cultural beliefs and rituals to a set of people who would find those beliefs and rituals quaint and sometimes even low. The first chapter, for example, is an exciting portrayal of how the protagonist, a professor of English in Yemen, defends and explains the logic of the Hindu way of disposing of their dead relatives and related rites. Instead of ducking or dismissing or feeling intimidated by the deprecatingly asked questions by a student about what he thought was the insensitivity involved in the practice of consigning the bodies of dead humans to fire, the professor patiently explains the ecological rationality and hygienic sense of the Hindu way of burning their dead to ashes. In another situation, which comes up in the fifth chapter, the protagonist is seen logically defending his vegetarianism when confronted by the local, non-vegetarian Yemenis, quoting their scriptural belief that all things in nature, including animals, have been created by the Almighty: “for human beings to consume”, meaning thereby that animals should be treated as food by humans (27). The protagonist is at his best using logic and citing the ordinary laws of nature to point out the many anomalies in the questioner’s argument. Like the one with feelings for all creatures in nature, the protagonist also tells the questioner that “human beings are human beings chiefly because they have a moral sense and can distinguish between what should be done and what should not be done” (29).

As one goes through the chapter, one begins to see the irony that the protagonist has to go to such lengths of arguments and debates to defend his non-violent eating habits.

As he does in explaining the rationale, logic, and sense of his vegetarianism, the protagonist is again at his best in explaining the differentiation between ‘Ishwar’ and ‘Devatas’ in the Hindu faith. “In our language, we have the word ‘Ishwar’ for the Supreme Being and the word ‘devata’ for benefactors”, he perceptibly and concisely points out to the person who questions him about the Hindu belief in the sacredness of cow (84). His explication means much because it is characterized by the spirit of accommodation and inclusion, not of exclusion: the spirit that gets a further illustration in his compassionate description of why many people of his faith practise idolatry. He says in Chapter Eighteen that the reasons are
embedded in human nature, including sentimentality and making humans like to see their beliefs visually represented. While talking to another person in another chapter, Chapter Twenty Eight, he briefly explains the iconography and the symbolism of the images of the popularly worshipped gods in India. Chapters Twenty-Seven and Thirty are interesting because they show the protagonist negotiating situations in which some people want him to convert to Islam. In the former of the two, an evangelically enthusiastic person tells him that he would have an unending happiness living in Jannat if he converted. The protagonist, however, logically questions the very concept of Jannat and also questions the idea of undergoing austerities in this life in this world just in order to enjoy luxuries in the afterlife in another place. His logic is impeccable when he says, “If something is bad for a man in this life, it must be bad for him in Jannat too” (125). The latter chapter, the thirtieth, turns quite dramatic and even amusing when a couple of people ask the protagonist to recite the Kalma, which he does without inhibitions. When asked afterwards if he had become a Muslim, he replied that he had not. Perhaps the people who had asked him to recite the Kalma had hoped for some miraculous change in his faith and were disappointed to learn that he had remained firm in his faith in ‘Om’.

Interspersed through the novel are the experiences of the protagonist, who narrates his encounter with situations in which he has to bribe people to get routine things done, have to learn cooking in order to be sure that he would only be eating vegetarian food, has to encounter opposition to his administration of his department as its administrative head, has to face circumstances in which he is expected to give undue advantage to some politically well-connected people, and also has to go through a harrowing experience of just escaping being mugged by a gang of thugs. Of course, the narrator-protagonist also has a few happy experiences of meeting empathetic and open-minded people, but the experiences of the other kind outdo these.

One must mention that the narrations are not directly related to the novel’s thematic bent. That is the narration in the last chapter of the sudden outbreak of the war in Yemen, which has continued to date and has caused an unmentionable amount of misery to the Yemenis. The world has heard of the starvation deaths in Yemen and has seen visuals of people shrunken to their bones due to starvation. However, there is no apparent likelihood of relief reaching there because of Saudi Arabia’s bombarding Yemeni territories almost non-stop since 2015. What has worsened the situation for Yemenis is the long stretch of famine since 2016, which, according to several media reports, has put around 13 million people in the country at risk of starvation death. The crisis there, as the last chapter briefly points out, was started by the attempt of the Houthis, a Shia sect, to take over the country. While the sect has the support of Shia Iran, the Wahabi Sunni Saudi Arabia has been doing the worst it can to choke the country and kill its people. The conflict in Yemen may be long-drawn, but it still does not seem near the end. Suppose a
recently published report in *The New York Times* of 18 July 2019 is to be believed. In that case, the one person who is singly and singularly responsible for the crisis is the current Crown Prince of Saudi Arabia. The report calls the war in Yemen the “Saudi Prince’s War” that has turned into his “His Quagmire” (Kirkpatrick).

Reading the novel can be a lesson and a learning experience in many ways. One, the reader may learn why and how to be positively but openly convinced about his or her belief systems. Two, he or she may learn how to work in an alien land of unfamiliar people and how to invite minimum trouble by limiting oneself to his or her work area only. Third, he or she may learn how to negotiate with even a very antagonistic atmosphere by being rational, right, and just. At some other level, the novel confirms the belief that human nature remains the same, whatever the culture and place.

While the narrative reads more like a memoir, the Preface carries its message not in the form of preaching or a prescription but as a conclusion drawn from a series of experiences of more or less the exact nature. That message speaks of the need for society “to accept diversity” in order to reach the stage and state of “peaceful coexistence” (v). The foregrounding of the message, by its unambiguous placement in the Preface, casts a guiding light on the narrative to follow and simultaneously sums up the essence of the protagonist’s experiences.
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